

# Analysing GHC Rewrite Rules

Julian Nagele

Department of Computer Science  
University of Innsbruck

AJSW 2016 – 45<sup>th</sup> TRS meeting    September 8, 2016



# Motivation

## Haskell

```
map f [] = []
map f (h:t) = f h : map f t

{-# RULES
   "map/map" forall f g xs.
       map f (map g xs) = map (f . g) xs
  #-}
```

- optimization of Haskell programs using rewrite rules
- library authors can use rules to express domain-specific optimizations that the compiler cannot discover for itself
- simple, but effective in optimizing real programs

# GHC Rewrite Rules

## Properties

- GHC makes no attempt to verify that the rule is indeed an identity
- GHC makes no attempt to ensure that the right hand side is more efficient than the left hand side
- GHC makes no attempt to ensure that the set of rules is confluent, or even terminating

## As Higher-Order Rewrite System

$$\text{map } (\lambda x. F x) \text{ nil} \rightarrow \text{nil}$$
$$\text{map } (\lambda x. F x) (\text{cons } h t) \rightarrow \text{cons } (F h) (\text{map } (\lambda x. F x) t)$$
$$\text{map } (\lambda x. F x) (\text{map } (\lambda x. G x) xs) \rightarrow \text{map } (\text{o } (\lambda x. F x) (\lambda x. G x)) xs$$

## Definition

The left hand side of a rule must take the the following form

$$f \ e_1 \ \dots \ e_n$$

where  $f$  is not quantified in the rule (i.e., not a variable), and the  $e_i$  are arbitrary expressions

- matching is modulo  $\alpha$
- pattern is  $\eta$ -expanded, but not expression ( $\eta$ -expanding expression might lead to laziness bugs)
- matching is not modulo  $\beta$

# Changes in Semantics

```
one = head . reverse . reverse $ [1..]
```

```
{-# RULES
```

```
  "reverse.reverse/id" reverse . reverse = id
```

```
 #-}
```

# List Fusion

```
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f n [] = n
foldr f n (x:xs) = f x (foldr f n xs)
```

```
build :: (forall b. (a -> b -> b) -> b -> b) -> [a]
build g = g (:) []
```

```
{-# RULES
  "foldr/build"
  forall f n (g :: forall b. (a -> b -> b) -> b -> b).
    foldr f n (build g) = g f n
  #-}
```

## Challenge

rank- $n$  polymorphic types

# List Fusion

```
sum :: [Int] -> Int
sum xs = foldr (+) 0 xs

down :: Int -> [Int]
down v = build (\c n -> down' v c n)

down' 0 c n = n
down' v c n = c v (down' (v-1) c n)

sum (down 5)
= {inline sum and down}
foldr (+) 0 (build (down' 5))
= {apply the foldr/build rule}
down' 5 (+) 0
```

# List Fusion

```
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f n [] = n
foldr f n (x:xs) = f x (foldr f n xs)
```

```
build :: (forall b. (a -> b -> b) -> b -> b) -> [a]
build g = g (:) []
```

```
{-# RULES
  "foldr/build"
  forall f n (g :: forall b. (a -> b -> b) -> b -> b).
    foldr f n (build g) = g f n
  #-}
```

## Challenge

rank- $n$  polymorphic types



# Inlining and Phases

- `sum` and `down` must be inlined for rule to be applicable
- `build` must not be inlined
- making rules applicable needs the right amount of inlining
- GHC implements phases for inlining and firing rules

```
{-# INLINE 2 build #-}  
build g = g (:) []
```

# Specialization

```
genericLookup :: Ord a => Table a b -> a -> b
intLookup     ::           Table Int b -> Int -> b
```

```
{-# RULES
  "genericLookup/Int" genericLookup = intLookup
 #-}
```

- GHC will replace `genericLookup` by `intLookup` whenever the types match

# Summary

- GHC uses rewrite rules to implement optimization
- idea: analyze those rules with rewriting techniques and tools

## Obstacles

- rank- $n$  polymorphism
- rewriting is partitioned into phases – interplay with inlining
- $\alpha\beta\eta$
- ...



Playing by the rules: rewriting as a practical optimization technique in GHC  
Simon Peyton Jones, Andrew Tolmach, Tony Hoare  
Proc. 2001 Haskell Workshop, 2001



Glasgow Haskell Compiler Users Guide

[https://downloads.haskell.org/~ghc/latest/docs/html/users\\_guide/](https://downloads.haskell.org/~ghc/latest/docs/html/users_guide/)